

**1st**

**The power must go back to the people in each and every State first. Complete Article IV Section 4, and we can begin to capture what is owed to all of us. Which State will be the first writing the template for all others to follow?**

**The Constitution and Declaration of Independence are both written in plain English.**

**We can walk away from the status being recognized as Citizen Subjects to the laws and rights the State impersonators seem fit to give us. It is game on for us, to open the doors for ALL other corrective actions.**

**The future of our Posterity depends on a proper understanding of the Source of our Rights.**

I will explain four views; show you which one is true, and why the other three are false and lead inexorably to the destruction of any country which embraces them.

1. Let us begin with what is true: Our Declaration of Independence says our Rights come from God. Our rights thus pre-date & pre-exist the U.S. Constitution. The Declaration of Independence says:

We Hold These Truths To Be Self-Evident, That All Men Are Created Equal, **That They Are Endowed By Their Creator With Certain Unalienable Rights**, That Among These Are Life, Liberty And The Pursuit Of Happiness.—**That To Secure These Rights, Governments Are Instituted Among Men**, Deriving Their Just Powers From The Consent Of The Governed...

So these, then, are the foundational principles of **our Constitutional Republic** to be incorporated into every State Constitution:

Our Rights are unalienable and come from God;

The purpose of civil government is to protect our God-given Rights;

Civil government is legitimate only when it operates with our consent; &

Since the US Constitution is the formal expression of the Will of the People, the federal government operates with **our consent only when it obeys the Constitution.**

Because the Declaration of Independence identifies The Creator as Grantor of Rights, we look to The Bible – or the Natural Law – to see what those rights are. The Bible – or the Natural Law – reveals many rights, such as the rights to Life, Liberty, the Pursuit of Happiness; to inherit, earn, and keep property; the right of self-defense; the right and duty to demand that the civil authorities obey the Law; the right to speak; the right to live our lives free from interference from civil government; the rights of parents to raise their children free from interference from civil government; the right to worship God; etc.

The distinguishing characteristics of all God-given or Natural Rights #1 are:

**Each one may be held and enjoyed at NO expense or loss to any other person; and, We can look them up for ourselves! They are not subject to someone else's interpretations.**

2. But many conservatives mistakenly believe that our rights come from the first Ten Amendments to the Constitution. So they speak of “our constitutional rights,” “the bill of rights,” our “First Amendment right to free speech”; “our Second Amendment right to bear arms,” and so forth. But it is *a dreadful mistake* to think that our rights come from the Constitution. I’ll show you two reasons why this is such *a pernicious error*:

a) **It is logically incoherent to say that our Rights come from the Constitution:** Let us read the Preamble to the US Constitution:

**WE THE PEOPLE** Of The United States, In Order To Form A More Perfect Union, Establish Justice, Insure Domestic Tranquility, Provide For The Common Defense, Promote The General Welfare, And Secure The Blessings Of Liberty To Ourselves And Our Posterity, **Do Ordain And Establish "This Constitution For The United States Of America".**

**WE THE PEOPLE** established and ordained the Constitution. **WE** are the ones who created the federal government with its three branches: legislative, executive, and judicial. **WE** are the ones who gave the federal government permission to exist and told it exactly what it had permission to do, when **WE** assigned enumerated powers to each branch.

**WE are the “creator” – the federal government is merely our “creature”.** (Federalist No. 33 (6th para), A. Hamilton.)

So! The Constitution is about the Powers which WE THE PEOPLE delegated to the federal government. The Constitution is NOT about Our Rights, which come from God and thus pre-date & pre-exist the Constitution!

b) Now look at Article III, Sec. 2, clause 1, U.S. Constitution “The Judicial Power Shall Extend To All Cases...Arising Under This Constitution...”

Think carefully, for this is the hook: If our rights come from the first Ten Amendments or elsewhere in the Constitution, then they “arise under the Constitution”; and *that clause* is what gives federal judges power over our rights!

When judges have power to determine our Rights, our Rights are no longer unalienable – we hold them at the pleasure of five judges on the Supreme Court. But because so many of us, for so long, have believed and said that our rights come from the “bill of rights,” those judges have seized on Art. III, Sec. 2, clause 1, to claim the power to determine the scope & extent of our rights!

So! Federal judges claim the power to regulate our political speech and religious speech. They claim the power to determine & regulate our property rights in the fruits of our own labors. They claim the power to control our retirements by forcing us to participate in social security! *They even claim the power to take Life away from unborn babies.*

Thus, when we say our Rights come from the Constitution, we are, in effect, agreeing to the submission of our Rights to the tender mercies of federal judges, because Art. III, Sec. 2, clause 1, gives them power over all cases “arising under the Constitution.”

This is why we must always insist that our Rights have a source – Almighty God, the Natural Law – which transcends the Constitution! 2

And furthermore, why would the Creator of The Constitution (that's us) grant to our "creature" (the judicial branch of the federal government), the power to determine the scope & extent of OUR Rights? It makes no sense at all!

c) You might well ask, "Why did our Founders add the first Ten Amendments if they were such a bad idea?"

There was controversy over this! Alexander Hamilton warned in Federalist No. 84 (9th para) that a bill of rights would give a pretext for regulating to those inclined to usurp powers. And he was right! The Supreme Court has used the first amendment to regulate political speech and to ban Christian speech in the public square: no prayers at football games, no nativity scenes on county courthouse lawns, and Judge Roy Moore is ordered to take down the Ten Commandments.

But some States refused to ratify the Constitution without them.

So, the proper way to look at the first Ten Amendments is this: They are not the source of our Rights since our Rights come from God, and thus TRANSCEND the Constitution. The first Ten Amendments is merely a partial list of things the federal government may not do (they can't take away our guns), and some things they must do (give accused persons a fair trial).

3. Judges on the supreme Court have claimed, in recent decades, that the source of our "rights" is the Constitution, as such "rights" are defined and discovered, from time to time . . . BY THEM!

I'll show you how they did it: The original intent of the 14th Amendment (one of the "civil war" amendments) was to protect freed slaves from southern Black Codes which denied them basic rights of citizenship.

But judges on the supreme Court have perverted the 14th Amendment to fabricate so-called "rights" which negate Rights God gave us and undermine the Moral Order!

How about this to consider. All 13 colonies agreed to what they called Colonial Law based on the foundations of Gods Law/Natural Laws. This idea of an overruling law of nature that the laws of God and so-called natural laws were regarded as the true laws, and all temporal legislation was considered to be binding only in so far as it was an expression of this natural law." James Wilson, the foremost lawyer at the Constitutional Convention, a signer of the Declaration

of Independence, and a man who was appointed to the Supreme Court in 1789 and was well familiar with this legal principal stated above called Natural Law, and stated; "Parliament may, unquestionably, be controlled by natural or revealed law, proceeding from divine authority."

<https://www.lawbookexchange.com/pages/books/40766/paul-samuel-reinsch/english-common-law-in-the-early-american-colonies>

It all starts at the State level with We the People acting as the employer, that can demand the corrective action to their state constitution by the law of the US constitution or the so-called representative is immediately fired for breach of his employment contract. all new representatives shall before they place their name on a ballot submit to a background investigation, those results shall be made public to the employers we the People of that State. The Candidate shall then take an oath to the New State Constitution and the US Of A Constitution signing a copy of both as their employment Contract. a Policy and Procedure Manual shall be developed covering the specific duties including report the status demanded of the people. A full accounting of monies collected by a consumption tax and those expenditures. Treat it as a business plan and no less

This Message is From from one of My Fathers Sons

+++

Robert Gregory Boensch